

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N 1979

Date: 2010-02-22

REPLACES: 32N1926

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Data Management and Interchange

Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)
Administered by Farance Inc. on behalf of ANSI

DOCUMENT TYPE	Final Text Submitted for COR Publication
TITLE	ISO/IEC 9075-10:2008 Cor 1:2010(E) Information technology - Database languages - SQL - Part 10: Object language bindings (SQL/OLB)
SOURCE	WG3 - Stephen Cannan - corrigendum editor
PROJECT NUMBER	1.32.03.06.10.00
STATUS	sent to ITTF for COR publication as approved at Jeju 2009-06-26; Disposition of Comments on DCOR 32N1926 may be found in 32N1982
REFERENCES	
ACTION ID.	ITTF
REQUESTED ACTION	
DUE DATE	--
Number of Pages	6
LANGUAGE USED	English
DISTRIBUTION	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Dr. Timothy Schoechle, Secretary, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32
Farance Inc *, 3066 Sixth Street, Boulder, CO, United States of America
Telephone: +1 303-443-5490; E-mail: Timothy@Schoechle.org
available from the JTC 1/SC 32 WebSite <http://www.jtc1sc32.org/>
*Farance Inc. administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Date: 2010-02-14

ISO/IEC 9075-10:2008/Cor.1: 2010(E)

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32/WG 3

The United States of America (ANSI)

Information technology — Database languages — SQL —

**Part 10:
Object Language Bindings (SQL/OLB)**

TECHNICAL CORRIGENDUM 1

*Technologies de l'information — Langages de base de données — SQL —
Partie 10: Liaison de Langage Object (SQL/OLB)*

RECTIFICATIE TECHNIQUE 1

Document type: Corridenga
Document subtype: Technical Corrigendum (COR)
Document stage: (5) IS Publication
Document language: English

Statement of purpose for rationale:

A statement indicating the rationale for each change to ISO/IEC 9075 is included. This is to inform the users of that standard as to the reason why it was judged necessary to change the original wording. In many cases, the reason is editorial or to clarify the wording; in some cases, it is to correct an error or an omission in the original wording.

Notes on numbering:

Where this Corrigendum introduces new Syntax, Access, General, and Conformance Rules, the new rules have been numbered as follows:

Rules inserted between, for example, Rules 7) and 8) are numbered 7.1), 7.2), etc. [or 7)a.1), 7)a.2), etc.]. Those inserted before Rule 1) are numbered 0.1), 0.2), etc.

Where this Corrigendum introduces new Subclauses, the new Subclauses have been numbered as follows:

Subclauses inserted between, for example, Subclause 4.3.2 and Subclause 4.3.3 are numbered 4.3.2a, 4.3.2b, etc. Those inserted before, for example, 4.3.1 are numbered 4.3.0, 4.3.0a, etc.

Contents

Page

11	<SQLJ specific clause> and contents.	1
11.15	<fetch statement>.	1

11 <SQLJ specific clause> and contents

11.15 <fetch statement>

1. *Rationale: Correct the invocation of Subclause 9.2, “Store assignment”.*

Replace Syntax Rule 3) with:

- 3) Insert after SR 9)b)iii) For each <target specification> $TS3_i$, $1 \leq i \leq NTS$, that is an <embedded variable name>,

Case:

- a) If <fetch statement> is contained in an <embedded SQL Java program>, then CS_i shall be SQLJ output assignable to $TS3_i$.
- b) Otherwise, the Syntax Rules of Subclause 9.2, “Store assignment”, in [ISO9075-2] apply to $TS3_i$ as *TARGET* and CS_i as *VALUE*.