ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 N 00810
ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 WG3 ICN-079

Date: 2002-05-07

REPLACES: --

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Data Management and Interchange

Secretariat: United States of America (ANSI)
Administered by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory on behalf of ANSI

DOCUMENT TYPE	
TITLE	WG 3 Convenor's Report to the SC 32 May 2002 Closing Plenary
SOURCE	
PROJECT NUMBER	1.32.03.05, 1.32.03.06, 1.32.53.01
STATUS	
REFERENCES	
ACTION ID.	
REQUESTED ACTION	
DUE DATE	2002-05-07
Number of Pages	4
LANGUAGE USED	English
DISTRIBUTION	P & L Members SC Chair WG Conveners and Secretaries

Douglas Mann, Secretariat, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory *, 901 D Street, SW., Suite 900, Washington, DC, 20024-2115, United States of America

Telephone: +1 703 575 2114; Facsimile; +1 703 681 9180; E-mail: MannD@battelle.org

*Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNL) administers the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 32 Secretariat on behalf of ANSI

The activities of WG3 and Editing Meetings on WG3 progression documents since the last SC 32 Plenary are described below.

Project 1.32.03.04

SQL Parts at IS

The ISO/IEC 9075-1 SQL/Framework, ISO/IEC 9075-2 SQL/Foundation, ISO/IEC 9075-3 SQL/CLI, ISO/IEC 9075-4 SQL/Persistent Stored Modules and ISO/IEC 9075-5 SQL/Host Language Bindings are all standards with a 1999 date.
Subsequently, ISO/IEC 9075-10 SQL/OLB was published with a 2000-10 date, ISO/IEC 9075 Amendment 1: SQL/OLAP was published with a 2000-12 date and ISO/IEC 9075-9 was published with a 2001-05 date.
The 1st Technical Corrigendum was published as ISO/IEC 9075 (parts 1 to 5):1999/Cor.1:2000.

SQL Parts at FDIS

Part 13: SQL/JRT

The FDIS ballot closes on 2002-05-14.
The Editor, Jim Melton, shipped camera-ready copy on December 18th of 2001 to the SC32 Secretariat for the two-month FDIS ballots on this SQL part. This means that it took ITTF nearly 3 months to even initiate the FDIS ballot.

SQL Parts at WD

Technical Corrigendum

The DCOR ballot on the 2nd Technical Corrigendum commenced on 2001-12-04.

148 comments resulted from the ballot, of which 28 were on the balloted text and the remainder were related to additional problems in the published standard. A number of Ballot Comments required that any additional problems discovered during the editing process also be resolved. Three such problems were discovered. The Editing Meetings managed to resolve at least 136 Ballot Comments and at least 5 additional problem.

At the close of the meeting there were less than 12 outstanding Ballot Comments and additional problems.

The DCOR Editing Meeting completed its business on the 9th of May 2001 with the following resolution, which was passed unanimously by the 7 National Bodies present:

> The Editing Meeting held in Seoul, Korea between the 29th April and the 9thth of May 2002 recommend that the output Technical Corrigendum text of this meeting be transmitted to ITTF in Geneva for publication as a COR as soon as the revised text is available.

The Editor, Stephen Cannan, hopes to ship camera-ready copy on or before 15th June of 2002 to the SC32 Secretariat for onward transmission to the ITTF secretariat.

Project 1.32.03.05

SQL Parts at FCD

The FCD ballot on parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10 and 11 closes on 2002-05-29.
The FCD ballot on parts 13 and 14 closes on 2002-08-01.

To date 7 National Bodies have made their Ballot Comments available in either draft of final form. 1005 comments have so far resulted from the ballot. These comments were distributed between the parts as follows:

Part 1	72
Part 2	356
Part 3	88
Part 4	329
Part 9	15
Part 10	60
Part 11	85
Part 13	7
Part 14	18

Of course, since the ballot has not yet closed additional comments will undoubtedly be forthcoming, especially on parts 13 and 14 whose ballots were initiated somewhat later than the other parts.

Of these known comments at least 228 already have proposed solutions and of these at least 108 have been endorsed by WG3.

This early visibility, which was also the case during the DCOR ballot has had a significant positive effect on the number of comments that we can process during the Editing Meeting and on the quality of the solutions adopted.

Project 1.32.53.01

No experts were present to pursue the two subprojects under this project. In addition one Editor has resigned and the status of the other is uncertain.
No Working Draft has been identified for either of the subprojects.
As result of this WG3 is asking SC32 to issue a call for Editors and participation to clarify the situation prior to the Santa Fe plenary in 2003.

Other

WG3 assumed responsibility for Project 1.32.53.01 at this meeting. As a result of which we updated our "Terms of Reference" to make it explicit that the new project was with the scope of WG3. It was felt that the existing terms did in fact cover the project but required combining aspects from several sentences. The revised scope makes it clearer but does not effectively alter the scope of WG3.

Summary

Excellent progress continues to be made on all fronts. Attendance at meetings is good — at least 8 National Bodies are always represented and 11 National Bodies have attended at least one meeting.

The Working Group's ability to progress multiple documents simultaneously and in close alignment continues to improve. We have experimented with electronic meetings and co-located meetings with National Body meetings and will continue to do so, developing and improving our procedures and working practices in these areas as we go.

Several tools and policies contribute to this ability, including the carefully tested procedures of the group. The standardised way in which agendas, minutes, comments, and proposals are presented and processed ensures easy access and availability to all National Bodies, greatly easing the review and development process. Another tool that contributes to the efficiency of WG3's meetings is a local area network and file server that has been kindly provided by one national Body for the use at the meetings. The group's work frequently benefits from having an on-line archive of all recent papers (including working drafts, proposals, and administrative documents) going back a number of years. In addition, the group's Editor is able to trace the source of virtually every line of text in all working drafts to their original proposals, helping proposal writers better understand the origin and history of material they wish to modify.